

Message

From: "jeff parker" ["jeff parker" **Ex. 6 PII Jeff parker**]
Sent: 9/7/2010 7:29:23 PM
To: Cara Steiner-Riley/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; hil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: FW: [bbwg] Fairbanks Daily News-Miner story
Attachments: <http://newsminer.com/bookmark/9394143-Still-years-away-from-development-the-fight-heats-up-over-Pebble-Mine>

I am writing a brief and quite busy, so if you want to discuss this article later, we could. It contains statements that I would use as admissions to rebut any takings claim: e.g., ""We're risking capital now for a project that might not work," Shively said. It also contains statements that implicitly recognize that metallic sulfide mining is a highly regulated activity in which it is reasonable to expect additional regulatory action, and you'll recall that is one of the grounds for holding that such action does not constitute a takings.

Jeff Parker

From: Paula Dobbyn [mailto:PDobbyn@tu.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 10:04 AM
To: Bristol Bay Working Group
Subject: [bbwg] Fairbanks Daily News-Miner story

<http://newsminer.com/bookmark/9394143-Still-years-away-from-development-the-fight-heats-up-over-Pebble-Mine>

Tim B. and Kim Williams are quoted in this, along with Shively of course. It's a good read.